

Proposal Title :	Penrith Local E	nvironmental P	lan 2010 - Amendment - 92	,94 and 96 Victoria	a Street, Werringtor	
Proposal Summ	High Density Re	The planning proposal seeks to rezone land at 92, 94 and 96 Victoria Street, Werrington to R4 High Density Residential, and increase the maximum building height to 15m and the minimum lot size to 800sqm.				
PP Number :	PP_2016_PENR	1_006_00	Dop File No :	qA399304		
oposal Details	;					
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	28-Feb-2017 /ed :		LGA covered :	Penrith		
Region :	Metro(Parra)		RPA :	Penrith City	Council	
State Electorate			Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning					
ocation Detail	S					
Street :	92 Victoria Street					
Suburb :	Werrington	City :	Penrith	Postcode :	2747	
Land Parcel :	Lot 6A DP 26950					
Street :	94 Victoria Street					
Suburb :	Werrington	City :	Penrith	Postcode :	2747	
Land Parcel :	Lot 6B DP 26950					
Street :	96 Victoria Street					
Suburb :	Werrington	City :	Penrith	Postcode :	2747	
Land Parcel :	Lot 7A DP 26950					

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	chantelle chow
Contact Number :	0298601536
Contact Email :	chanetelle.chow@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Adonna See
Contact Number :	0247327408
Contact Email :	adonna.see@penrithcity.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :	Terry Doran
Contact Number :	0298601579
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North West subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	170
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes	22	
If No, comment :	At this point in time, to the best of the regional team's knowledge, the Department's Code of Practice in relation to communications with lobbyists has been complied with.		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	A search of the register was undertaken on 6 November 2016. There are no records of meeting or communications with registered lobbyists.		
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT Political donations disclosure laws commenced on 1 October 2008. The legislation requires the public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the planning system.		
3	"The disclosure requirements under new legislation are triggered by the making of relevant planning applications and relevant public submissions on such applications".		
	The term relevant planning autho	ority means:	

errington			
~	" A formal request to the environmental planning	Minister, a council or the Secretary to initiate the making of an instrument"	
	-	009 specifies that a person who makes a public submission to the r is required to disclose all reportable political donations (if any).	
	The Department has not	received any disclosure statements for this planning proposal.	
	Receipt Date		
		roposal has been amended to accommodate additional led by Penrith City Council.	
External Supporting Notes :			
dequacy Assessme	nt		
Statement of the ol	bjectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the c	bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	Street, Werrington (th	al seeks to facilitate the development of land at 92,94 and 96 Victoria e site), for high density residential use. The proposal would allow for dditional housing in close proximity to services and facilities.	
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55	(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pr	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment : The planning proposal seeks to amend the zoning, height and lot size controls within the Penrith Local Environmental Plan 2010 (Penrith LEP 2010) by:			
		l Zoning Map (LZN_013) by rezoning the site from R3 Medium to R4 High Density Residential;	
		ht of Building Map (HOB_013) by increasing the maximum he site from 8.5m to 15m; and	
	3. Amending the Lot Size Map (LSZ_013) by increasing the minimum lot size for the site from 400sqm to 800sqm.		
Justification - s55 ((2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strate	egy been agreed to by the D	irector General? No	
b) S.117 directions ide	entified by RPA :	3.1 Residential Zones	
* May need the Directo	or General's agreement	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land	
Is the Director Gen	eral's agreement required? I	No	
c) Consistent with Star	ndard Instrument (LEPs) Ord	der 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		CTIONS sistent with relevant section 117 Directions, however, commentary is e following by Council:	
	-	OD PRONE LAND not identified as flood prone land within Penrith LEP 2010 Flood FLD_013), the site is affected by the Probable Maximum Flood	

 $\left(i \right)$

I

rington		
	(PMF) within the Hawkesbury-Nepean Valley.	
	The assessment of flood risk is provided further in the report.	
	It is recommended prior to exhibition, the planning proposal is amended to address the consistency of the proposal with this Direction.	
	DIRECTOIN 4.1 ACID SULFATE SOILS It is recommended that, as a condition, Council provides some commentary on this direction.	
	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES The proposal is consistent with all relevant SEPPs, however, it is recommended that commentary is provided by Council on the following:	
	SEPP (INFRASTRUCTURE) 2007 The site is immediately adjacent to the Western Line rail corridor and Werrington Railway Station, therefore this SEPP applies to the site. However the planning proposal does not address this policy.	
	It is recommended prior to exhibition, the planning proposal is amended to address the consistency of the proposal with this SEPP. It is also recommended that Sydney Trains be consulted.	
lave inconsistencie	s with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes	
f No, explain :		
apping Provide	i - s55(2)(d)	
s mapping provided	? Yes	
Comment :	Mapping of the current and proposed amendments are provided in Part 4 and Appendix 1-3 of the planning proposal. The planning proposal requires amendments to the following maps: - Land zoning Map (LZN_013); - Height of Building Map (HOB_013); and - Lot Size Map (LSZ_013).	
ommunity cons	ultation - s55(2)(e)	
las community cons	ultation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	Part 5 of the planning proposal plans for a 14 day community exhibition period.	
	Given possible flood evacuation constraints, it is recommended that a 28 day exhibition period is justified and is undertaken.	
	Further, the planning proposal is to be amended prior to exhibition to ensure consistency with the Gateway determination in each part of the proposal.	
ditional Directo	or General's requirements	
re there any additio	nal Director General's requirements? No	
Yes, reasons :		
verall adequacy	of the proposal	
Joes the proposal m	eet the adequacy criteria? Yes	

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : September 2010

Comments in relationThe Penrith Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2010 was notified in September 2010. Theto Principal LEP :planning proposal is consistent with this instrument.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planningThe planning proposal seeks to permit the development of a residential flat buildingproposal :containing 170 apartments on the site, which is not permissible under a R3 Medium DensityResidential zone. A R4 High Density Residential is sought as the site adjoins R4 zoned land
to the east and is located within close proximity to transport, services and facilities.

werrington	2
Consistency with	DRAFT WEST DISTRICT PLAN (2016)
strategic planning framework :	The site is located within the West District, however the planning proposal does not a address the consistency of the proposal with the Draft West District Plan (the Draft Plan).
e)	The site is affected by the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) within the Hawkesbury Nepean Valley. As identified in the Draft West District Plan, up to 134,000 people currently live and work on the floodplain and could require evacuation during a flood.
	In accordance with Action S16 – Address flood risk issues in the Hawkesbury Nepean Valley - the Department referred the planning proposal to the Hawkesbury Nepean Flood Risk Management Taskforce (the Taskforce) to ensure that the cumulative growth in the Hawkesbury-Nepean Valley does not increase the risk to life.
	On 5 December, the Taskforce provided the following:
~	 in relation to the Hawkesbury Nepean regional flooding: the site is located between the 1 in 2,000 and 1 in 5,000 chance per year
	flood extents; - the area is not a flood island; and
	- there is land above the PMF some 500m west along the rising Victoria Street;
	• in relation to local flooding on South Creek, the NSW State Emergency Service
	and the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage advised that: - the site is within the 1 in 500 chance per year flood extent;
	- the area is not a flood island; and
	- there is land above the PMF some 500m west along the rising Victoria Street;
	 the local evacuation routes would be via John Oxley Avenue and Victoria
	Street towards Cambridge Park. The last local evacuation route, on the generally rising Victoria Street towards Cambridge Park, can be affected by more local flooding on Werrington Creek near Park Avenue.
	The Taskforce advised:
	 the site is not considered to be subject to a high regional flood risk and
	would have limited impact on the emergency flood evacuation from higher flood risk areas in the Valley; and recommended:
	 advice should be sought from Council regarding the local flood risk including how the local flood and regional flood risk interact;
	 the design of the proposed development must be in accordance with the regional and/or local flood evacuation scenario including underground car parks, and evacuation route(s) and destination; and
	 the planning proposal be supported by a detailed assessment addressing the
	above issues and include evacuation plans approved by the NSW State Emergency Service in consultation with Roads & Maritime Services and the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage.
	It is recommended prior to exhibition, that the planning proposal be amended to address the consistency with the Draft West District Plan and address the advice provided by the Taskforce regarding flood risk and agency consultation.
Environmental social	
economic impacts :	The site contains some trees at the rear of the site, however these are not identified as significant vegetation on the Penrith LEP 2010 maps.
	Council notes that some of these trees may be native (remnant or regrowth) but are not connected to other native vegetation areas. Further assessment of vegetation on the site can be addressed at the development application stage through Council's vegetation management controls.
	TRAFFIC IMPACTS
	The Traffic and Parking Assessment Report concludes that the planning proposal will generate moderate traffic that will have a low impact on the existing road network. Thee Report also notes that Council's DCP requires 190 residential parking spaces whilst the

Report also notes that Council's DCP requires 190 residential parking spaces whilst the

Penrith Local Environmental Plan 2010 - Amendment - 92,94 and 96 Victoria Street, Werrington RMS Guide only requires 148 spaces. ACOUSTIC IMPACTS The Acoustic Assessment Report notes that appropriate controls can be incorporated into the building design to address noise emission from the road and rail corridor for future residents. Further assessment of acoustic impacts can be addressed at the development application stage. **Assessment Process** Routine Proposal type : **Community Consultation** 28 Days Period : Timeframe to make 12 months Delegation : Nil LEP : Integral Energy **Public Authority** Consultation - 56(2)(d) **Transport for NSW Transport for NSW - Sydney Trains Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services State Emergency Service** Sydney Water Telstra Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes If no, provide reasons Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. Flooding If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No If Yes, reasons : Documents **Document File Name** DocumentType Name Is Public Letter - Penrith City Council_25-10-2016 Victoria Street, **Proposal Covering Letter** Yes

Letter - Penrith City Council_25-10-2016 Victoria Street,Proposal Covering LetterYesWerrington_1.pdfProposal.Yesplannning proposal.pdfProposalYesMaps - Penrith City Council_25-10-2016_GatewayMapYesDetermination for Planning Proposal - 92, 94 & 96YesYesVictoria Street, Werrington_-4.pdfYesYes

_			
	Council Report - Penrith City	Study	Yes
	Council_25-10-2016_Gateway Determination for		N
	Planning Proposal - 92, 94 & 96 Victoria Street,		
	Werringtonpdf		
	Council Resolution - Penrith City	Study	Yes
	Council_25-10-2016_Gateway Determination for		
	Planning Proposal - 92, 94 & 96 Victoria Street,		
	Werrington7.pdf		
	Forms - Penrith City Council_25-10-2016_Gateway	Study	Yes
	Determination for Planning Proposal - 92, 94 & 96		
	Victoria Street, Werrington2.pdf		
	Acoustic Report - Penrith City	Study	Yes
	Council_25-10-2016_Gateway Determination for		
	Planning Proposal - 92, 94 & 96 Victoria Street,		
	Werrington6.pdf		
	Traffic Report - Penrith City Council_25-10-2016_Opt	Study	Yes
	Gateway Determination for Planning Proposal - 92, 94 &		
	96 Victoria Street, Werrington5.pdf		
	2016.11.07 - Letter to HN Taskforce -	Proposal Covering Letter	No
	PP_2016_PENRI_006_00 - Victoria Street Werrington.pdf		

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.3 Flood Prone Land

Additional Information : DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTIONS Penrith City Council has requested delegation for plan making functions to finalise the proposal. Given the nature of the planning proposal it is recommended that authorisation be issued for Council to exercise delegation.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Prior to public exhibition, Council is to amend the planning proposal, by addressing:
 - (a) the draft West District Plan including Sustainability Action S16;
 - (b) consistency with the State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007 in respect of the assessment provided in the supporting acoustic report; and,
 - (c) include commentary in respect of section 117 Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils.

The amended proposal is to be submitted to the Department for information purposes.

2. Prior to exhibition a detailed assessment addressing the issues outlined in the letter from the Hawkesbury-Nepean Valley Flood Management Directorate dated 5 December 2016, is required to be prepared, which includes evacuation plans approved by the NSW State Emergency Service in consultation with Roads & Maritime Services and the Office of Environment & Heritage. The proposal must be amended to address section 117 Direction 4.3 - Flood Prone Land.

	A copy of the proposal and assessment must be provided to the Department's regional team for information.
	Note: following Council's assessment, approval from the Secretary may be required in relation to this Direction.
	3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material
	that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans (Department of Planning and Environment 2016).
	4. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:
	Infrastructure NSW - Hawkesbury-Nepean Valley Flood Management Directorate;
	 Transport for NSW; Transport for NSW - Sydney Trains;
	 Transport for NSW – Roads and Maritime Services;
	NSW State Emergency Service;
	Sydney Water;
	 Endeavour Energy; and, Telstra.
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.
	Notwithstanding this condition, advice is required under Condition 2 regardless of any time limitation.
	5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission).
	6. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal would facilitate additional housing in a suitably well located area.
Signature:	Alex
Printed Name:	1 DORAW Date: 8/3/17
	(/